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*RATIONALE*

Amidst ongoing debates over the size and extent of energy rebound effects, energy efficiency measures are expected to contribute a key part of energy-related CO2 emissions reductions in support of Paris Agreement targets, even while the world economy grows. Therefore, continued work on energy rebound theory and modelling is required to support energy efficiency modelling and policy responses.

The research gap we identified is that although work on rebound is now routinely cast in terms of microeconomic categories, microeconomic rebound theory is scattered across papers and lacks unification. In response, we develop a comprehensive, partial-equilibrium rebound analysis framework, which includes emplacement, substitution, income, and macro effects. Further, we develop two empirical case studies, of a car and an electric lamp, and obtain estimates for total rebound of 48 and 80%, respectively.

We make several novel contributions. First, the framework developed is the most comprehensive yet developed, and is consistently based on microeconomic theory. Second, we utilise a general model of consumer preference, based on a Constant Elasticity of Substitution (CES) function. Third, our framework is applicable for both marginal and non-marginal energy service price changes from the adoption of an energy efficiency upgrade (EEU). Fourth, we develop a novel approach to utilize a macroeconomic factor (*k*) to link micro-to-macro rebound effects, taking inspiration from recent comparisons with empirical studies of the Marginal Propensity to consume (MPC) for windfall income gains. Fifth, we make the framework operational by using real-world empirical data and providing case study examples to show magnitudes of the different rebound effects and sensitivity to rebound parameters. Sixth, we provide novel rebound visualization in energy, expenditure, and consumpti0n spaces.

From the development and application of the framework, we can draw three important conclusions. First, the car and lamp examples show that the framework enables quantification of magnitudes of all microeconomic rebound mechanisms, including direct and indirect locations for emplacement, substitution, and income effects. Second, the examples show that magnitudes of rebound effects vary with the type of energy efficiency upgrade performed. Third, the sensitivity studies enable evaluation of rebound sensitives to important parameters, including for the first time the full emplacement effect and the macro effect. For the examples in this paper, total rebound is more sensitive to the price of energy, the elasticity of energy service demand, and the macro factor (*k*) than either the energy efficiency gain or capital costs of the energy efficiency upgrade.
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*REPLICABILITY*

A key requirement for Energy Economics is that the paper should be replicable. In our case, we have made sure our work is replicable. First, we provide the R-code packages and links for the reader. Second, we will make available our excel-based example sheets in a University of Leeds data repository, which has a permanent DOI link. Third, all data used in our examples are freely available in the public domain. Last, we have secured open access funds for the paper. If this submission moves to publication, open access will encourage its use and aid replicability.

Finally, we have worked hard to provide a concise, novel article that we believe will be of significant interest to your readership. It is keenly aligned to both the Aims and Subject Areas of *Energy Economics*, especially conversion and use of energy, regulation and taxation, and environment and climate*.* We thank you for your consideration of our work.
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Matthew K. Heun